



Trout Creek Elementary

4 School Lane
Trout Creek, MT 59874
County Name - Sanders

2009-2010 Student Enrollment - 38

Teacher Evaluation

1) Where is the formal written evaluation process for teachers defined?

Answer – Collective bargaining agreement

2) How often are non-tenured teachers required to receive a formal written evaluation?

Answer - Three times a year

3) How often are tenured teachers required to receive a formal written evaluation?

Answer - Annually

4) Check all components that are utilized in the teacher evaluation instrument. **X indicates selected answer(s)**

- Rating scale
- Evaluation rubric
- Standards based evaluation
- Teacher Reflection/self-evaluation
- Peer Evaluation
- Other –

5) Please describe how the district uses the results in making decisions relating to teacher development, compensation, promotion, retention, and removal.

Answer - Evaluations that do not get a satisfactory rating are recommended for non-renewal. Based on 'needs for improvement' teacher development is scheduled. Compensation and promotion are not linked to the evaluations.

6) Does the system used by the district to evaluate the performance of teachers include student achievement outcomes or student growth data as an evaluation criterion?

Answer - No

7) Do the district's teachers receive an overall performance rating or level as part of the formal evaluation process? An example of performance level names would be: Ineffective, Effective, Highly Effective.

Answer - Yes

8) If you Answered Yes to question 7 above, does your system publicly report the number and percentage of teachers at each performance level?

Answer - No

Principal Evaluation

1) Where is the formal written evaluation process for principals defined?

Answer - District policy

2) How often are non-tenured principals required to receive a formal written evaluation?

Answer – Annually

3) How often are tenured principals required to receive a formal written evaluation?

Answer - Annually

4) Which of the following components are utilized in the principal evaluation instrument? **X indicates selected answer(s)**

- Rating scale
- Evaluation rubric
- Evaluator narrative
- Standards based evaluation
- Principal reflection/self-evaluation
- Other-

5) Please describe how the district uses the results in making decisions relating to principal development.

Answer - Evaluations that do not get a satisfactory rating are recommended for non-renewal. Based on 'needs for improvement' professional development is scheduled. Compensation and promotion may be linked to the evaluations, at the Board's discretion.

6) Does the system used by the district to evaluate the performance of principals include student achievement outcomes or student growth data as an evaluation criterion?

Answer - No

7) Do the district's principals receive an overall performance rating or level as part of the formal evaluation process? An example of performance level names would be: Ineffective, Effective, Highly Effective.

Answer - Yes